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Abstract. The paraelectric low-frequency dynamics of KH$_2$PO$_4$-type crystals has been investigated on the basis of a new time-dependent pseudospin Hamiltonian which includes both long-range electrostatic interactions and strong short-range interactions which exist within clusters of four neighbouring bonds. The static limit of this model is the well-known four-particle cluster tunnelling Hamiltonian which gives a good account of the static properties of the first-order phase transition in these crystals. First-order time-dependent perturbation theory is used to calculate the dynamical linear response of the system.

The coupling between the collective excitation modes and the short-range energy levels of the clusters yields twelve collective $B_1$ mode frequencies, two of which contribute significantly to the dynamical response of the system. One major consequence of the model is that the protonic tunnelling integral of KH$_2$PO$_4$ is greater than 250 K, which is much higher than previous estimates. The temperature dependence of the low-lying modes is found to agree well with the observed temperature dependence of the soft mode, $\omega_0^2 \propto (T - T_c)$, in contrast to the RPA result $\omega_0^2 \propto (T - T_c)/T$. The best fit to the data on both static and dynamical properties is obtained with the set of Slater energy parameters $\epsilon_0 = 52$ K, $\epsilon_1 = 952$ K, $\gamma = 53.4$ K and $\Gamma = 300$ K.

The results of the tunnelling cluster dynamical model of KH$_2$PO$_4$ shown to be consistent with data obtained from a previously developed cluster Glauber model of KD$_2$PO$_4$.

1. Introduction

The dynamical properties of ferroelectric KH$_2$PO$_4$ (KDP)-type crystals have attracted much interest in recent years (Blinc and Zeks 1974, Scott 1974, Cowley 1976, Fleury 1976, Courtens 1977, 1978, Durvasula and Gammon 1977). Particular attention has been paid to the low-frequency spectra which, in the vicinity of $T_c$, are dominated by the appearance of the soft mode and the so-called central peak.

It is well known that short-range interactions between hydrogen bonds play an important role in the phase transition of KH$_2$PO$_4$. However, whereas for the static features detailed theories have been developed (Slater 1941, Silsbee et al 1964, Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks et al 1975) that incorporate short-range interactions and successfully explain the experimental static properties of these crystals, most theories of the dynamics of KDP are based on molecular-field-type approximations which neglect short-range interactions. Although these theories give a good qualitative description of some of the important dynamical features that are observed, they fail to describe adequately the temperature dependence of the soft-mode frequency and they do not account for the
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appearance of the central peak in the very-low-frequency limit in the immediate vicinity of $T_c$. Recently several models (Krumhansl and Schrieffer 1975, Binder et al 1975, 1977, Schneider and Stoll 1976, 1978) have been proposed which explain the onset of the central mode in structural phase transitions in terms of non-linear cluster dynamics. This approach suggests that fluctuating clusters which result from strong short-range interactions may also be important in the linear dynamical response of these crystals. Indeed, it has been shown very recently (Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977) that a linear small-cluster dynamical approximation which incorporates the characteristic short-range interactions of $\text{KD}_2\text{PO}_4$ yields a good quantitative description of the relaxational dynamics of this crystal. In the present work, the paraelectric low-frequency dynamics of KDP-type crystals is investigated, based on a new time-dependent pseudospin Hamiltonian which includes both long-range electrostatic and strong short-range interactions which exist within clusters of four neighbouring hydrogen bonds. The static limit of this model is the well-known four-particle cluster tunnelling Hamiltonian (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks et al 1975) which gives a good description of the static properties of the first-order phase transition in these crystals. First-order time-dependent perturbation theory is used to calculate the dynamical linear response of the system.

Instead of the one soft tunnelling $B_2$ mode which appears in the usual RPA method (Brout et al 1966, Kobayashi 1968), several collective protonic $B_2$ modes are obtained in our model. These modes are the result of the strong coupling of the collective excitations to the short-range energy levels of the four clusters. Of these various modes, only the two low-lying modes contribute significantly to the dynamical susceptibility; one in the high-temperature region and the other in the vicinity of $T_c$. A major consequence of this dynamical cluster model is that the short-range interactions and correlations tend to screen the protonic tunnelling and reduce its contribution to the protonic mode frequencies. Thus, in order to explain the measured value of the soft-mode frequency, the value of the tunnelling must be greater than 250 K which is much higher than previous estimates (Kaminow and Damen 1968, Kaminow 1969, Fairall and Reese 1975, Vaks et al 1975, Blinc et al 1976). Indeed, it is shown here that this large value of the tunnelling integral is also supported by measurements of some static properties of KDP in the paraelectric region. Furthermore, it is shown that the calculated temperature dependence of the low-lying modes in this model agrees well with the observed (Lagakos and Cummins 1974, Gauss et al 1975) temperature dependence of the soft mode, $\omega_0^2 \propto (T - T_c)$, in contrast to the RPA prediction $\omega_0^2 \propto (T - T_c)/T$.

Finally it is shown that the value of the free protonic relaxation time of $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ obtained in the present cluster dynamic model is consistent with the results obtained previously for $\text{KD}_2\text{PO}_4$, using a four-particle cluster Glauber kinetic model (Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977).

2. Description of the dynamics of KDP by the RPA

The dynamical and the static properties of KDP-type crystals are commonly described by a pseudospin Ising Hamiltonian with a transverse field (de Gennes 1963, Brout et al 1966, Blinc and Zeks 1974):

$$H = -\Gamma \sum_i X_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij} Z_i Z_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

Calculation of the dynamical properties of the above Hamiltonian is usually done on the
basis of RPA. This 'first-order' approximation yields the well-known protonic tunnelling soft mode with a frequency $\omega_0$ which in the paraelectric phase is given by (Brout et al 1966, Blinc and Zeks 1974)

$$\omega_0^2 = 4\Gamma(\Gamma - J_0\langle X \rangle)$$  \hfill (2.2a)

where

$$\langle X \rangle = \tanh \beta \Gamma \quad J_0 = \sum_j J_{ij} = \Gamma / \tanh \beta \epsilon \Gamma.$$  \hfill (2.2b)

The main features of the RPA soft-mode frequency $\omega_0$ are the following: (i) $\omega_0$ vanishes at $T = T_c$; (ii) the high-temperature limit of $\omega_0$ is $2\Gamma$; (iii) $\omega_0^2 \propto (T - T_c)/T$ for $\beta \Gamma < 1$.

Since the soft mode is known to be heavily overdamped, a relaxation process must also be included in the model. The simplest way to take that into account is by using an overdamped harmonic oscillator model with the following dynamical susceptibility:

$$\chi(\omega) = \chi(0) \omega_0^2/(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + 2i\omega \Delta).$$  \hfill (2.3)

A more comprehensive way is to solve the Bloch-type equations of motion for the pseudospin fluctuations, as was done by Blinc and Zeks (1974). However, in the paraelectric phase and the low-frequency region this model reduces approximately to that of a damped harmonic oscillator (Blinc and Zeks 1972). Indeed, light scattering experiments (Lagakos and Cummins 1974, Scott 1974, Gauss et al 1975) show that the low-frequency response of KDP is adequately described by taking into account strong coupling between the above protonic overdamped soft mode (equation 2.3) and a lattice optic $B_2$ mode. If the experimental data (Cochran 1969, Kaminow 1969, Lagakos and Cummins 1974) for $\omega_0$ are fitted to equation (2.2), the following numerical values for the energy parameters are obtained:

$$\Gamma \sim 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad J_0 \sim 90 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad \Delta \sim 80 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$  \hfill (2.4)

Although the above model gives a good qualitative account of the main features of the low-frequency dynamical response of KDP, it has some serious limitations. First, as already noted by previous authors, the analysis of the light scattering spectra by the model involving two coupled modes (She et al 1972, Lagakos and Cummins 1974, Scott 1974, Gauss et al 1975, Azoulay et al 1977) shows that the temperature dependence of the pure tunnelling soft-mode frequency deviates significantly from $[(T - T_c)/T]^{1/2}$; near $T_c$ it behaves like $(T - T_c)^{1/2}$ as indicated in figure 3. The deviation from the temperature dependence predicted by the RPA is also evident in the very low-frequency measurements (Kaminow 1965, Gauss et al 1975) of the loss tangent $\tan \delta$ given by

$$\tan \delta = \text{Im} \chi / \text{Re} \chi \approx 2\omega \omega_0^2/\omega_0^2.$$  \hfill (2.5)

This is of particular importance since the quantity $2\pi \tau = 2\Delta/\omega_0^2$ is more readily extracted from the experimental data (Scott 1974) than $\omega_0$ or $\Delta$. Also the analysis of the data in the very low-frequency region does not depend significantly on the coupling to the lattice mode.

Another point of interest is the comparison between the relaxation time $\tau_0$ of a non-interacting proton and that of a deuteron in KD$_2$PO$_4$. This relaxation time is actually calculated by taking the high-temperature limit of the collective relaxation time $\tau$ or of $2\Delta/\omega_0^2$ for KH$_2$PO$_4$. Analysis of various experiments in conjunction with the RPA formula yielded values around 0.02 cm (Kaminow and Damen 1968, Garland and Novotny 1969, Litov and Garland 1970, Lahajnar et al 1974, Gauss et al 1975) for $2\pi \tau_0$ in KH$_2$PO$_4$ and around 0.2 cm for KD$_2$PO$_4$ (Kaminow 1965, Litov and Uehling 1968, 1970, Litov
and Garland 1970). There is no theoretical explanation for the order of magnitude difference between \( \tau_0 \) for \( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \) and that for \( \text{KD}_2\text{PO}_4 \).

Furthermore, as has been shown previously (Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977), the analogue of equation (2.2) in \( \text{KD}_2\text{PO}_4 \), according to the RPA (i.e. \( \tau \propto T/(T - \theta) \)) fails to describe adequately the observed temperature dependence of \( \tau \) in that crystal in both the \( z \) and \( x \) directions. Finally, it is noted that both the RPA dynamical and static results are not consistent with the well-known Slater–Takagi microscopic description of the short-range interaction energies of the \( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \) groups. For instance, the inclusion of these strong short-range interactions in \( J_0 \) in equation (2.2) would increase its value to at least 500 K, which yields an unrealistically high value for \( T_0 \) (Tokunaga and Matsubara 1966).

From the above discussion it is evident that the well-known short-range interactions which are crucial to the description of the static properties of KDP-type crystals are also important for the description of their dynamical properties. Elliott and Young (1974) have proposed a dynamical model which includes these short-range interactions by adding a four-spin interaction term to the pseudospin Hamiltonian (equation 2.1). However, since their approach is limited to simple RPA treatment they obtain, in the paraelectric region, the same results as are given by equation (2.2a).

We have shown previously (Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977) that the effect of these short-range interactions can be properly taken into account by a relaxational four-particle cluster dynamical model. In KDP however, collective tunnelling modes play the important role in its dynamics and therefore we present in the following section a tunnelling cluster dynamical model which takes into account the effect of the short-range interactions on these tunnelling modes.

3. Dynamical tunnelling cluster model

Previous treatments of the static properties of KDP have shown that by applying a four-particle cluster approximation to the Hamiltonian of equation (2.1), the effects of both the long-range electrostatic interactions and the important strong short-range interactions which exist between the four neighbouring hydrogen bonds surrounding the \( \text{PO}_4 \) groups can be successfully taken into account (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks et al 1975).

In the present work we extend the static four-particle cluster approximation into the dynamical region by using the following new time-dependent four-particle cluster tunnelling Hamiltonian \( H_4 \):

\[
H_4 = -U(Z_1Z_3 + Z_2Z_4) - V(Z_1Z_2 + Z_2Z_3 + Z_3Z_4 + Z_4Z_1) - \xi(t) \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z_i - \tilde{\Gamma}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{4} X_i - \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) \sum_{i=1}^{4} Y_i.
\]

(3.1)

The first two terms represent the short-range energies of the four pseudospins within the cluster which represent the four hydrogen bonds around a \( \text{PO}_4 \) group. The energies \( U \) and \( V \) are connected to the Slater energy parameters \( \epsilon_0 \) and \( \epsilon_1 \), through the relations (Vaks et al 1975)

\[
U = -\epsilon_1/2 + \epsilon_0/2 \quad V = \epsilon_1/2 - \epsilon_0/4
\]

The effective time-dependent field \( \xi(t) \) is given by
Low-frequency dynamics of $KH_2PO_4$-type crystals

\[ \xi(t) = \mu_2E_Z(t) + \gamma\langle Z \rangle_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2(t) \]  

(3.2)

where $E_Z(t)$ is a small fluctuating external electric field, $\gamma\langle Z \rangle_t$ is a molecular-field term resulting from long-range dipole--dipole interaction and $\Delta_2$ is a cluster field which represents the short-range interactions with the neighbouring spins outside the clusters. The tunnelling term $\Gamma(t)$ is

\[ \tilde{\Gamma}(t) = \Gamma - \frac{1}{2}\eta(t) \]  

(3.3)

where $\eta(t)$ stands for the modification of the single-spin tunnelling arising from its short-range interaction with the neighbours outside the cluster. It should be noted that the transverse cluster field does not vanish in the static limit, even for $T > T_c$ (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks and Zinenko 1973). Finally, the new effective transverse cluster field $\alpha(t)$ acting on $Y_1$ is a dynamical contribution from the short-range interactions and vanishes in the static limit.

The determination of $\Delta_2$, $\eta$ and $\alpha$ depends on the specific consistency method which is used in the cluster approximation (Strieb et al 1963). Here we use a dynamical consistency relation which is an extension of that used previously for the static problem (Blinc and Svetina 1966) namely

\[ \langle S_1 \rangle_1 = \langle S_1 \rangle_4 \]  

(3.4)

where $\langle S_1 \rangle_4$ is the time-dependent average of the three-component spin operator calculated by the equations of motion of $H_4$ (equation 3.1) and $\langle S_1 \rangle_1$ is the corresponding average calculated by the equations of motion of the effective one-spin cluster Hamiltonian which is given by

\[ H_1 = - (\mu_2E_Z(t) + \gamma\langle Z \rangle_0 + \Delta_2(t))Z_1 - (\Gamma - \eta(t))X_1 - \alpha(t)Y_1. \]  

(3.5)

The difference between the effective fields in $H_1$ and $H_4$ stems from the fact that in $H_4$ only half of the nearest neighbours of each spin add a contribution to the effective fields acting on it, while its interaction with the other half is taken into account in $H_4$ explicitly.

The usual procedure of calculating the dynamics of the spin waves consists of writing the Heisenberg equations of motion $d\langle S \rangle/dt = -\langle [H, S] \rangle$. This yields the following equations of motion for $H_4$:

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle X_1 \rangle_t = V(\langle Z_0 Y_1 \rangle_t + \langle Z_2 Y_1 \rangle_t) + U(\langle Z_3 Y_1 \rangle_t) + \xi(t)\langle Y_1 \rangle_t + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t \]  

(3.6a)

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle Y_1 \rangle_t = -V(\langle Z_0 X_1 \rangle_t + \langle Z_2 X_1 \rangle_t) - U(\langle Z_3 X_1 \rangle_t), \]  

(3.6b)

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t = -(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2}\eta(t))\langle Z_1 \rangle_t - \zeta(t)\langle X_1 \rangle_t \]  

(3.6c)

and for $H_1$:

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle X_1 \rangle_t = (2\xi(t) - \mu_2E_Z - \gamma\langle Z \rangle)\langle Y_1 \rangle_t + \alpha(t)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t, \]  

(3.7a)

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle Y_1 \rangle_t = (\Gamma - \eta(t))\langle Z_1 \rangle_t - (2\xi(t) - \mu_2E_Z - \gamma\langle Z \rangle)\langle X_1 \rangle_t, \]  

(3.7b)

\[ \frac{1}{2}(d/dt)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t = -(\Gamma - \eta)\langle Y_1 \rangle_t - \alpha(t)\langle X_1 \rangle_t \]  

(3.7c)

and similar equations for the higher moments $\langle Z_0 Y_1 \rangle_t$, $\langle Z_0 X_1 \rangle_t$, etc.

For the sake of simplicity, we will limit ourselves to the paraelectric phase, $T > T_c$. In this region the polarisation $\langle Z \rangle$ and the longitudinal fields are small fluctuating quantities. Hence from linearisation of the above equations it is found that both $\langle X \rangle$ and $\eta$ do not have fluctuating parts and their values are determined through the
solution of the static problem (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks et al. 1975). As for \( \xi(t) \) and \( \sigma(t) \), if we assume \( E_z(t) = E_z \exp(\text{i}\omega t) \) and use the consistency relation equation (3.4), we obtain

\[
\xi(t) = (1/2\langle X \rangle)(\Gamma - \eta - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\langle Z_1 \rangle_t + \frac{1}{2}\mu E_z(t) \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
\sigma(t) = (\mu\eta/2\Gamma\langle X \rangle)\langle Z_1 \rangle_t, \tag{3.9}
\]

where \( \langle X \rangle = \tanh \beta(\Gamma - \eta) \).

As can be seen from equations (3.6), the single-spin averages \( \langle S_i \rangle_t \) are coupled to high-order moments of spins which belong to the same cluster. In contrast to the RPA in the dynamical cluster model we do not couple these moments, and therefore a whole set of equations of motion must be derived and solved for all the relevant spin moments. In the paraelectric region there are 24 fluctuating spin moments in the four-particle cluster and therefore 12 different doubly degenerate modes of fluctuation of polarisation (\( B_2 \) modes) are obtained. Before calculating the eigenfrequencies \( \omega_\alpha \) it is perhaps instructive to write down the general form of \( \omega_\alpha \) which is obtained by resubstituting equations (3.8) and (3.9) into equations (3.6 and (3.7). The result is

\[
\omega_\alpha^2 = 4\Gamma(\Gamma - J^s \langle X \rangle) \tag{3.10}
\]

where

\[
J^s = \gamma + \left\{ [4V(\langle Z_0X_1 \rangle^\tau_t + \langle Z_2X_1 \rangle^\tau_t) + 2U(\langle Z_3X_1 \rangle^\tau_t)]/\langle Z_1 \rangle^\tau_t \langle X \rangle \right\}. \tag{3.11}
\]

It can be seen that the improvement in the present treatment compared with the RPA arises from the fact that the effective interaction constants \( J^s \) of each mode contain contributions from both mean-field long-range interactions and short-range interactions. While the long-range interactions provide a constant contribution to all \( J^s \), similar to \( J_0 \) in the RPA (equation 2.2b), the contribution of the short-range interactions is affected by the spin–spin short-range correlations within the cluster and therefore it is different for each mode and is also temperature-dependent.

Instead of deriving and solving the set of equations of motion, which is rather cumbersome, we calculate the dynamical quantities of the system by using a first-order time-dependent perturbation technique. Thus \( \xi(t) \) and \( \sigma(t) \) are treated as small time-dependent perturbations of the form \( \xi(t) = \xi \exp(\text{i}\omega t), \sigma(t) = \sigma \exp(\text{i}\omega t) \), which cause an induced oscillating polarisation in the various unperturbed stationary energy levels of \( H_\alpha \).

The induced polarisation of each energy level is calculated by a first-order time-dependent perturbation theory and \( \langle Z_1 \rangle_t \) is then derived by taking the thermal average of these polarisations. Thus we obtain the equation

\[
\langle Z_1 \rangle_t = \frac{2}{\mathcal{F}_4} \sum_{j,m > j} \frac{\exp(-\beta E_m)[1 - \exp(-\beta \Delta E_{jm})]}{(\Delta E_{jm})^2 - \omega^2} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \Delta E_{jm} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} \langle Z_i \rangle \right)^2 \right] \xi

- \frac{1}{2\omega} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \langle j \rangle \sum_{m > j} \langle j \rangle \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z_i \langle m \rangle \langle Z_i \rangle \exp(\text{i}\omega t) \tag{3.12}
\]

where \( E_m \) and \( E_j \) are the energy levels of the unperturbed \( H_\alpha \) corresponding to the eigenstates \( \langle m \rangle \) and \( \langle j \rangle \) respectively and \( \Delta E_{jm} = E_j - E_m \). The quantity \( \mathcal{F}_4 \) is the four-particle function defined as \( \mathcal{F}_4 = \sum_{n=1}^{4} \exp(-\beta E_n) \).

Substitution of equations (3.8) and (3.9) into equation (3.12) yields a closed equation for \( \langle Z_1 \rangle_t \). The eigenfrequencies \( \omega_\alpha \) are calculated through equation (3.2) by substituting \( E_z = 0 \) in equation (3.8). Since there are 12 different transition energies \( \Delta E_{jm} \), equation (3.12) yields 12 solutions for \( \omega_\alpha \). Numerical results for a chosen set of energy parameters
Low-frequency dynamics of $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$-type crystals

$\epsilon_0$, $\epsilon_1$, $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$ are presented in figure 1. It can be seen that the collective eigenfrequencies can be interpreted as the modification of the transition energies of the cluster caused by its interaction with the collective motion of surrounding spins.

It is also seen that the values of most of the frequencies $\omega_z$ are nearly equal to one of the $\Delta E_{jm}$ for all temperatures above $T_c$. Only the two low-lying frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ differ significantly from the corresponding transition energies and are strongly temperature-dependent: the higher frequency $\omega_2$ decreases with decreasing $T$ but freezes out to a finite value while the lower frequency $\omega_1$ becomes soft on approaching $T_c$ and extrapolates to zero at the Curie temperature $T_c$. This already indicates that only these two low-lying modes are important in the collective dynamical response of the system. Indeed, calculation of $\chi(\omega)$ by solution of equation (3.12) with $E_z \neq 0$ yields the following:

$$\chi(\omega) = N\mu_0\langle Z_1 \rangle / E_z = \sum_{z=1}^{12} \chi_z \omega_z^2(\omega_z^2 - \omega^2)$$

(3.13)

where $\chi_z$ is the relative contribution of each mode to the total susceptibility. Calculation of $\chi_z$ shows that only $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$, which correspond to $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$, contribute significantly over the whole range of temperatures. Another general feature of the results is that over most of the temperature range, only one of the susceptibilities $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ is dominant. Although in the region of high temperatures only the relative susceptibility of the higher mode $\chi_2$ is important, the susceptibility $\chi_1$ of the low-lying mode is dominant in the region of about 30 K above $T_c$. This is illustrated by the numerical results presented in figure 2.

It is noted that $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ go to zero when $\Gamma$ vanishes; hence they are recognised as tunnelling modes. The appearance of two dominant low-lying polarisation fluctuation
tunneling modes with $B_2$ symmetry is in marked contrast to the RPA result where only one soft tunneling $B_2$ mode exists. This interesting result of our model is due to the inclusion of short-range interactions which induces a coupling between the collective modes and the internal energy structure of the four-particle clusters. The qualitative dynamical features of the model which were described above are independent of the specific energy parameters $\epsilon_0$, $\epsilon_1$, $\gamma$ and $\Gamma$ over a wide range of values. However, the quantitative results of the model are sensitive to the specific choice of parameters which should be fixed by a quantitative comparison with the experimental results. This is done in the following section.

4. Determination of the energy parameters of KH$_2$PO$_4$

One of the main results of the cluster dynamical model is that the dominant eigenfrequency is much smaller than $2\Gamma$, even in the high-temperature region. This arises from the screening of the tunneling by the short-range interactions, which appear in $J^2$ of equation (3.11). Because of this effect, the values of the eigenfrequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ depend strongly on the values of both the tunneling integral $\Gamma$ and the Slater high-energy parameter $\epsilon_1$. In fact, the increase in $\Gamma$ as well as the decrease in $\epsilon_1$ increases the values of $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. On the other hand, the dependence of these eigenfrequencies on the values of $\epsilon_0$ and $\gamma$ is rather weak and is significant only in the vicinity of $T_c$. Indeed, in order to fit the dominant frequency $\omega_2$ to the experimental value $\omega_0 \approx 110$ K at room temperature (Kaminow 1969, Gauss et al 1975), the value of $\Gamma$ must be in the range 250–300 K, with $\epsilon_1$ varying between 750 and 950 K. The only way to obtain a smaller value of $\Gamma$ which would be consistent with the experimental value of $\omega_0$ is to choose correspondingly low values for $\epsilon_1$, which would not be compatible with the well-known (Reese 1969, Vaks et al 1975) first-order nature of the phase transition.

The high value of $\Gamma$ mentioned above is in marked contrast to the RPA result (Kaminow 1969), $\Gamma \approx 70$ K which corresponds to the experimental value of $\omega_0$ at room
temperature. Previous estimates of $\Gamma$ were also obtained by comparing the static properties, especially in the ferroelectric region, with the static cluster model (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Fairall and Reese 1975, Vaks et al. 1975, Chabin and Gilletta 1977). These estimates yielded a value of $\Gamma$ which is close to the above-mentioned RPA result. However it is interesting to note that quantitative fitting of the static properties in the paraelectric region also favours the high values of $\Gamma$ and $\epsilon_1$ which are similar to those deduced above from our dynamical cluster model. In particular, the experimental high value of the paraelectric Curie constant cannot be adequately explained (Vaks et al. 1975) unless it is assumed that $\Gamma$ is in the range 250–300 K. Also the weak temperature dependence of the paraelectric susceptibility in the transverse $X$ direction (Havlin et al. 1975) can be explained within the cluster model only by a value of $\Gamma$ greater than 250 K (Havlin and Sompolinsky 1979).

Table 1. Comparison between the predictions of the cluster model and the experimental results for the dynamical and static properties of KH$_2$PO$_4$. The theoretical results of these sets of parameters are given. Set I: $\Gamma = 300$ K, $\epsilon_1 = 952$ K, $\epsilon_0 = 52$ K, $\gamma = 53.4$ K; Set II: $\Gamma = 250$ K, $\epsilon_1 = 750$ K, $\epsilon_0 = 50$ K, $\gamma = 50.6$ K; Set III (Vaks et al. 1975): $\Gamma = 74$ K, $\epsilon_1 = 550$ K, $\epsilon_0 = 61$ K, $\gamma = 17$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_Z$ (K)</th>
<th>$\chi_X(300 \text{ K})/\chi_X(150 \text{ K})$</th>
<th>$P_c$</th>
<th>$T_c - T_0$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set I (300 K)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2960$^b$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set I (250 K)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2560$^b$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set III (74 K)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2200$^b$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>79$^a$</td>
<td>2850–3200$^c$</td>
<td>0.67$^d$</td>
<td>0.30–0.36$^e$</td>
<td>0.05–0.08$^f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a Kaminow and Damen (1968), Kaminow (1969).  
b The Curie constant $C_Z$ was calculated by assuming $\mu_Z = P_0/2N$ where $P_0$ is the experimental saturated polarisation (Samara 1973).  
c Samara (1973), Gauss et al. (1975).  
d Havlin et al. (1975).  
e Benepe and Reese (1971), Vaks et al. (1975).  
f Vaks et al. (1975).

Table 1 summarises the main predictions of the static and dynamic cluster models for the two sets of parameters which correspond to $\Gamma = 250$ and 300 K, respectively. These results are compared to those obtained from sets of parameters described previously (Vaks et al. 1975, $\Gamma = 70$ K) and also to the corresponding experimental data. It can be seen from table 1 that both Sets I and II are a good fit to the dynamic and the static properties in the paraelectric region, whereas Set III does not fit the static experimental results well and yields a completely wrong value for the frequency of the soft mode. However, it should be noted that the temperature dependence of the spontaneous polarisation in the low-temperature region calculated with the aid of Set I or II does not give a good fit to the observed results. This discrepancy is attributed to the failure (Blinc and Svetina 1966, Vaks and Zinenko 1973) of the self-consistent cluster approximation in describing the thermodynamic properties of the Ising model with a transverse field in the low-temperature region.
Finally, it is noted that the value of $\epsilon_1$ in Set I is nearly the same as that for KDP$_4$PO$_4$ (Silsbee et al. 1964, Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977), whereas $\epsilon_0$ turns out to be different from the value for KDP$_4$PO$_4$ by the same factor as the corresponding transition temperatures. As has already been pointed out (Blin and Svetina 1966), an isotope effect of this type is also expected from simple physical arguments. In the following section we adopt Set I as the basis for a quantitative comparison between the theoretical results of the dynamical cluster model and experimental data.

5. Comparison with experimental dynamical data

As mentioned previously (§2), in order to obtain a realistic description of the dynamics of KDP the effects of relaxation processes must be incorporated in the theoretical calculations. For our dynamical cluster model, the simplest way to do this is by assuming that each mode with frequency $\omega_a$ behaves as a damped harmonic oscillator of width $\Delta_\omega$. Thus, instead of equation (3.13), we obtain for the dynamical susceptibility the expression

$$\chi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2} \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 + \omega^2 + 2i\omega\Delta_\omega}.$$  (5.1)

However, as has already been pointed out above, over almost the whole temperature range, only one of the two dominant modes contributes significantly to the total susceptibility and therefore the right hand side of equation (5.1) can be approximated by a single damped harmonic oscillator:

$$\chi(\omega) \simeq \frac{\chi(0)\omega_0^2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + 2i\omega\Delta}.$$  (5.2)

where the effective eigenfrequency $\omega_0$ is equal to $\omega_1$ in the temperature range $T_c \leq T \leq 150$ K and to $\omega_2$ for higher temperatures. (In the limited region around $T = 150$ K where $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ their relative susceptibilities are nearly equal and therefore $\omega_0$ is here taken to be their average.) The temperature dependence of $\omega_0$ was calculated with the
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Figure 3. The temperature dependence of the soft-mode eigenfrequency. The broken curve represents the RPA result; the full curve is the present cluster dynamical results. The experimental data of Lagacos and Cummins (1974, □) and the data of Gauss et al (1975, ●) are also shown.
Low-frequency dynamics of KH$_2$PO$_4$-type crystals

Energy parameters of Set I (see Table 1). The results are shown in Figure 3 together with the experimental data obtained by Lagakos and Cummins (1974) and Gauss et al (1975) from the analysis of light scattering data through the lattice proton-coupling method. Also shown in Figure 3 is the RPA result, the energy parameters of which were chosen so that it coincided with the measured value of $\omega_0$ at room temperature. It can be clearly seen that in contrast to the RPA results, the cluster dynamical results agree very well with the experimental data. In effect, both our theoretical results and the experimental data indicate a linear variation of $\omega^2_0$ with $T$ over a wide range of temperatures above $T_0$. This contrasts with the RPA result, $\omega^2_0 \propto (T - T_0)/T$. As can be seen in Figure 3, this difference between the two models becomes especially evident above room temperatures where the RPA soft-mode frequency rapidly approaches its high-temperature limit, whereas the cluster soft-mode frequency still increases linearly with temperature.

The theoretical predictions of the present model are also compared to the very low-frequency measurements (Kaminow 1965, Gauss et al 1975) of the loss tangent $\tan \delta_z$ which is related to $\chi'(\omega)$ of equation (5.2) through equation (2.5). The results are shown in Figure 4, where we have used the value $\Delta = 80$ cm$^{-1}$, which is the width extracted from Raman experiments (Kaminow 1969, Lagakos and Cummins 1974). It can be seen that very good agreement is achieved for both the temperature dependence of $\tan \delta_z$ and its absolute value.

Finally, it should be noted that in the present model the non-interacting protonic relaxation time calculated by taking the high-temperature limit of $2\Delta/\omega^2_0$ is 0.007 cm. It is interesting to compare this to the value $2\pi\tau_0 = 0.006$ cm previously obtained (Sompolinsky and Havlin 1977) in KD$_2$PO$_4$ by using a four-particle cluster Glauber
kinetic model. This agreement supports the basic assumption of the importance of four-particle cluster short-range interactions in the dynamics of both KDP and DKDP-type crystals.
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